

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

County of San Diego

DATE: July 13, 2006 DEPT. 71 REPORTER A: CSR#

PRESENT HON. RONALD S. PRAGER REPORTER B: CSR#

JUDGE

CLERK: K. Sandoval

BAILIFF: REPORTER'S ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 120128
SAN DIEGO, CA 92112-4104

AMENDED MINUTE ORDER

IN RE: JCCP 4221/4224/4226&4428 – Natural Gas Anti-Trust Cases (Price Indexing)

The attached Court's ruling regarding **PRO HAC VICE OF AMY TABOR** applies to all cases listed as follows:

4221-00020	UYEDA vs CENTERPOINT ENERGY INC
4221-00021	BENSCHIEDT vs AEP ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00022	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00023	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00024	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00025	OLDER vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00026	CITY OF SAN DIEGO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00027	TAMCO vs DYNEGY INC
4221-00028	A L GILBERT COMPANY vs CORAL ENERGY RESOURCES LP
4221-00029	OBERTI WHOLESALE FOOD INC vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00030	BROWN vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00031	LOIS THE PIE QUEEN vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00032	VITTICE CORPORATION vs ENCANA CORPORATION
4221-00033	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00034	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA vs RELIANT ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00035	SCHOOL PROJECT FOR UTILITY RATE REDUCTION vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00036	ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00037	OWENS-BROCKWAY GLASS CONTAINER INC vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00038	TEAM DESIGN DBA TIMOTHY ENGELN INC vs RELIANT ENERGY INC
4221-00039	CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER vs RELIANT ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00040	SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT vs RELIANT ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00041	SHANGHAI 1930 RESTAURANT PARTNERS LP vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC
4221-00042	PODESTA vs ENCANA ENERGY SERVICES INC

4221-00043 NURSERYMAN'S EXCHANGE OF HALF MOON BAY vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00044 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO vs SEMPRA ENERGY
4221-00045 BUSTAMANTE vs WILLIAMS ENERGY SERVICES
4221-00046 PABCO BUILDING PRODUCTS vs DYNEGY INC
4221-00047 BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY vs DYNEGY INC

The Court rules on the application of Amy Tabor for admission pro hac vice as follows:

The Court grants the unopposed motion, as the Court finds that attorney Amy Tabor has met the eligibility requirements outlined in California Rules of Court – Rule 983 for out of state counsel to be admitted pro hac vice.

IT IS SO ORDERED